

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964.901	09/27/2001	Mark S. Roby	2788	3223
7.	590 07/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Chief Patent Counsel			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB	
United States Surgical Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
150 Glover Avenue		1762		
Norwalk, CT	06856		DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/964,901	ROBY ET AL.					
, which, it is a second of the	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer K. Michener	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims to	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10 C Other: \		•					

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The reply filed 23 June 2004 fails to place this application in condition for allowance.
- 2. The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration or search, namely language in a new independent claim requiring a single coating, and because the amendments do not place the application into better form for appeal by simplifying issues for appeal.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments, raised in the response to the non-final office action, have been previously addressed in the final rejection.

Specifically, however, Examiner maintains that since the same polydialkylsiloxane and siliconization material is used by the reference as used by Applicant in dependent claims and the instant specification, that the properties of claim 1 inherently would be met by the reference. Examiner again notes that claim 1 does not require the coating mixture to necessarily have a viscosity of 10,000 cp. Claim 1 merely requires that the molecular weight of the siloxane be sufficient to provide such a viscosity. Clearly a viscosity of 12,500 has the *ability* to provide a viscosity of greater than 10,000 to a coating mixture.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Kolb Michener

M Mil

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

7/20/2004